

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,627	12/17/1999		MING-LING LO	YO999-429	1398
44628	7590	12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
ANNE E. E			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH		
= -	80 BENEDICT AVENUE TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-4142			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		•	2176	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/466,627	LO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Maikhanh Nguyen	2176	

		1	
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff	Appeal. To avoid aba	nce, which
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods:	ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection wh	ishawaria latar da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESIDENT See MIRED 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		00000
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	-	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		Impliant Amenoment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome all rejections under appe	e date of filing a brief, eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	•	BASHORE EXAMINER
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.		بصلال ()	u or wort
		WILLIAM	BASHUHE
	· .		
		(571)272-	7008

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 8. Prosecution is closed, no convincing reason why this was not submitted earlier (since submission 1.131 on June 17, 2004).

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding Affidavit under 1.131, Applicant is respectfully reminded to consider all issued raised by the examiner in Advisory Action mailed August 20, 2004.

As to independent claims 1, 46, and 61, Applicant argues in substance that mapping is from XML documents, not to XML documents [Remarks, pages 5-6]. In response, Chang's teaching "adding of data types into XML documents and associating these data types with XML elements and attributes" meets "establishing a mapping from lists and scalars corresponding to at leat one data source into XML elements and attributes" as broadly claimed by Applicant.

WILLIAM BASHORE

REMARKY EXAMINER

(571) 272-4088